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## Agenda Item 3

## SCHOOL ADMISSIONS FORUM

Minutes: 10 February 2012

| Present: | Councillor L. Kelly (Local Authority) (Chair) <br> Reverend T. Colling (SACRE) <br> Councillor S. Dixon (Local Authority) |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | K. Edwards (Catholic Diocese) <br> C. Firth (Choice Adviser) |
|  | H. Quinn (Schools - Voluntary Aided) <br> I. Rose (Schools - Community) <br> M. Simpson (Special Educational Needs) |
| In Attendance: | S. Heawood (Children, Learning and Young <br> Peoples Directorate) <br> G. Paddan (Customer and Workforce Services <br> Directorate) |
| Apologies: | P. Burns (Independent School Appeals Panel) <br> G. Robinson (Church of England Diocese) |
|  |  |

208. Introductions

Members introduced themselves and were welcomed to the meeting.

## 209. Minutes

The minutes of the meeting held on 9 December 2011 were agreed as a true record.
210. Matters Arising

There were no matters arising.

## 211. Primary Co-ordinated Admissions Scheme 2013/14

Members considered the report (Document 2) which provided an update on the amendments that had been made in relation to the oversubscription criteria and looked after children. One of the main changes was the addition of a specific policy for the Eastern Green Junior School which was linked with St Andrew's Church of England Infant School.

The Forum had raised some questions regarding the time scales of the consultation and how schools with their own admissions policies would be able to amend them if they did not wish to participate in in-year coordination. It was suggested by Helen Quinn that it would be helpful if Sue Heawood could attend a meeting in March to meet with the

Catholic School Heads to provide a better understanding of the admissions requirements and respond to any outstanding queries.

RESOLVED that Sue Heawood attend the Catholic School Heads meeting on 22 March 2012 and that the Co-ordinated Scheme be accepted.

## 212. Secondary Co-ordinated Admissions Scheme 2013/14

The Forum considered the report (Document 3) on the Coventry Secondary School Co-ordinated Admissions Scheme 2013/14. A copy of this report had been circulated at the previous meeting and members considered the amendments that had been made in relation to oversubscription criteria and the reduction in the admissions number for Ernesford Grange Secondary \& Community College. .

With regards to $6^{\text {th }}$ form education it was confirmed that each school would set their own criteria in respect of admissions.

## RESOLVED that the Co-ordinated Scheme be accepted

## 213. Consultation on Admissions Arrangements for Voluntary Aided Schools, Trust Schools and Academies

The Forum received a report (Document 4) on the details of the schools that were consulting on their admissions policies for 2013. The proposed policies were available in detail on the Council's website.

It was noted that Christ the King, Holy Family, St Anne's, St Elizabeth's and St John Fisher had adopted changes to broaden the definition of siblings to include step brothers and sisters where the parents were not married. Also they had clarified the wording on baptism to specify catholic baptism and reception.

Christ the King Catholic Primary School had made an additional amendment to their oversubscription criteria to create greater differentiation between non catholic children, moving from two items which identified those with and without siblings at the school, to four criteria, which identified children as those living inside and outside the catchment area with siblings and those inside and outside the catchment areas without siblings.

Leigh Church of England Primary School had changes in the following areas - wording on full time and part time education for reception year children, admission of twins and children of multiple birth in line with the new Admissions Code; raising the admission number in Key Stage 2 from 30 to 32 in exceptional circumstances and using the date of application to separate applications for the final place where distances were equal. The local authority was making representations on these
areas as some changes would not meet the requirements of the School Admissions Code.

Two secondary schools had made changes - Cardinal Newman Catholic School had added an oversubscription criterion for children of staff and Barr's Hill School and Community College had reduced their PAN from 154 to 131. The report highlighted specific recommendations being made by the local authority to Cardinal Newman School on providing a definition of staff.

Sue Heawood advised that following the new Admissions Code, the Team would be contacting all own admission authority schools whether they were consulting on their policies or not to request that they amend their policies to widen the definition of looked after children to include previously looked after children. The Catholic diocese was also recommending specific changes in wording on such issues to all Catholic schools.

## RESOLVED that the report be noted.

## 213. Choice Adviser's Annual Report

The Forum received a presentation from Chris Firth, Choice Adviser outlining the role and achievements to date. It was noted that the Choice Adviser provided impartial advice to parents and assisted them in applying to secondary school places.

The presentation highlighted the statistics for non-returned Secondary School admission forms; overall a decrease of non-returns by 112 (56\%). Further data was provided on catchment areas, as depicted on the maps. Information was also presented on the breakdown of nonreturned forms by ethnicity and postcodes for 2011/12. In general it was agreed that the move to greater online applications had not led to an increase in late applications.

Members noted that the role of the Choice Adviser was no longer a requirement in the new Admissions Code but the work and services that were provided were both necessary and of great value to parents and schools. .

Further discussion ensued on the appeals advice provided - 86\% for secondary schools and $14 \%$ for primary schools; in a supportive role, mainly talking through the process.

The Chair commended Chris Firth on his work. It was brought to the Chair's attention that the press had used information in this report to highlight bullying statistics but disregarded the context of this information and the excellent results and work undertaken by the Choice Adviser and the Council.

## RESOLVED that the presentation and report be noted.

## 214. Any Other Business

Members discussed levels of appeals for school places and the pressures created for admission authorities in this labour intensive work. It was noted that increasing demand for school places combined with lack of availability was increasing the numbers of primary appeals and frustration for parents at the stress and lack of success in this process.

The Forum noted that the membership of the Forum needed to be reviewed following the departure and absence of some members and the legislative changes. It was agreed that the membership be considered at a future meeting and that secondary school representation is pursued again.

## 207. Date of next meeting

The next meeting was confirmed as 12 October 2012.

## Secondary School allocations 01/03/2012

| School Name | PAN | SEN | LAC | Catchment and Sibling | Catchment | Sibling | Other | Total Allocated | updated allocation 25/9/12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Barr's Hill School \& Community College | 154 | 2 | 1 | 15 | 34 | 16 | 36 | 104 | 109 |
| Blue Coat CE School \& Music College | 210 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 82 | 124 | 210 | 210 |
| Caludon Castle School | 240 | 5 | 0 | 70 | 132 | 20 | 13 | 240 | 243 |
| Coundon Court School \& Community College | 270 | 1 | 1 | 50 | 158 | 16 | 44 | 270 | 284 |
| Ernesford Grange Community School | 210 | 3 | 1 | 18 | 50 | 4 | 9 | 85 | 77 |
| Finham Park School | 237 | 4 | 2 | 58 | 122 | 24 | 27 | 237 | 247 |
| Foxford School | 180 | 3 | 1 | 40 | 78 | 10 | 23 | 155 | 152 |
| Grace Academy Coventry | 210 | 1 | 0 | 37 | 79 | 17 | 60 | 194 | 206 |
| Lyng Hall School | 150 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 37 | 9 | 22 | 79 | 86 |
| President Kennedy School | 273 | 3 | 1 | 29 | 69 | 23 | 45 | 170 | 186 |
| Sidney Stringer Academy | 210 | 1 | 0 | 87 | 83 | 36 | 3 | 210 | 217 |
| Stoke Park School \& Community College | 180 | 1 | 0 | 15 | 68 | 15 | 81 | 180 | 178 |
| The Westwood Academy | 157 | 4 | 2 | 14 | 37 | 17 | 37 | 111 | 115 |
| Tile Hill Wood School \& Language College | 237 | 1 | 0 | 13 | 72 | 12 | 65 | 163 | 163 |
| Whitley Academy | 160 | 1 | 0 | 20 | 70 | 16 | 53 | 160 | 159 |
| Woodlands Academy | 210 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 89 | 13 | 57 | 170 | 156 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Catholic |  | non-Catholic |  |  |
| Bishop Ullathorne Catholic School | 180 | 3 | 0 |  | 107 |  | 55 | 165 | 168 |
| Cardinal Newman Catholic School | 210 |  | 1 |  | 156 |  | 53 | 210 | 210 |
| Cardinal Wiseman Catholic School | 240 | 2 |  |  | 133 |  | 67 | 202 | 204 |
| Totals | 3918 | 41 | 10 | 486 | 1574 | 330 | 874 | 3315 | 3370 |

Coventry Reception Classes: September 2012
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## September 2012 Admissions Pressure:

## Reception Spaces Remaining

As at 16/04/2012

| DfES No | School | Admission Capacity | Spaces Remaining | \% Capacity Remaining |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mainstream Primary |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | Aldermans Green Community Primary School | 90 | 27 | 30\% |
| 2023 | Hearsall Community Primary School | 60 | 11 | 18\% |
| 2076 | Allesley Hall Primary School | 30 | 2 | 7\% |
| 2084 | Allesley Primary School | 60 | 18 | 30\% |
| 2115 | Sir Frank Whittle Primary School | 45 | 10 | 22\% |
| 2122 | Whoberley Hall Primary School | 30 | 13 | 43\% |
| 2135 | Whitmore Park Primary School | 90 | 32 | 36\% |
| 2141 | Manor Park Primary School | 90 | 1 | 1\% |
| 2142 | Templars Primary School | 75 | 18 | 24\% |
| 2154 | Mount Nod Primary School | 45 | 7 | 16\% |
| 2155 | Spon Gate Primary School | 60 | 4 | 7\% |
| 2156 | Henley Green Community Primary School | 60 | 1 | 2\% |
| 2157 | Limbrick Wood Primary School | 30 | 13 | 43\% |
| 3009 | St Andrew's CE Infant School | 60 | 1 | 2\% |
| 3301 | St John's CE Primary School | 30 | 6 | 20\% |
| 3302 | Leigh CE Primary School | 30 | 14 | 47\% |
| 3436 | Charter Primary School | 45 | 14 | 31\% |
| 3437 | Howes Community Primary School | 30 | 2 | 7\% |
| Catholic Primary |  |  |  |  |
| 3432 | St Thomas More Catholic Primary School | 60 | 23 | 38\% |
| Total |  |  |  |  |
| 331 | Coventry | 4380 | 217 | 5\% |
|  | Mainstream Primaries | 3645 | 194 | 5\% |
|  | Catholic Primaries | 735 | 23 | 3\% |

Secondary In Year Admissions and Transfers - Three Year Analysis

| School | 1 | 2 <br>  | 3 $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 4 : | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{1}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  | $7$ $\stackrel{ \pm}{ \pm}$ |  | 2 | 3 $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 4 <br> $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{3}$ | 5 <br>  |  | $7$ $\stackrel{ \pm}{\square}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Barr's Hill School \& Community College | 105 | 53 | 32 | 20 | 97 | 56 | 31 | 94 | 56 | 10 | 29 | 74 | 35 | 19 |
| Bishop Ullathorne Catholic School | 31 | 14 | 4 | 13 | 26 | 46 | 13 | 36 | 20 | 3 | 13 | 20 | 13 | 6 |
| Blue Coat CE School \& Music College | 6 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 16 | 8 | 6 |  |  | 6 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Caludon Castle School | 10 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 9 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 3 |  | 6 | 6 | 4 | 1 |
| Cardinal Newman Catholic School | 25 | 6 | 4 | 15 | 24 | 25 | 15 | 17 | 4 | 4 | 9 | 17 | 18 | 10 |
| Cardinal Wiseman Catholic School | 30 | 16 | 4 | 10 | 28 | 58 | 25 | 44 | 25 |  | 19 | 40 | 18 | 12 |
| Coundon Court | 14 | 0 | 4 | 11 | 15 | 14 | 12 | 13 | 3 | 1 | 9 | 11 | 9 | 8 |
| Ernesford Grange Community School | 27 | 6 | 12 | 9 | 22 | 49 | 16 | 43 | 20 | 6 | 17 | 36 | 21 | 6 |
| Finham Park Mathematics and Computing Collea | 20 | 2 | 7 | 11 | 17 | 10 | 5 | 11 | 2 |  | 10 | 12 | 9 | 4 |
| Foxford School | 51 | 26 | 13 | 12 | 48 | 38 | 15 | 27 | 7 | 2 | 18 | 25 | 15 | 11 |
| Grace Academy Coventry | 41 | 9 | 19 | 13 | 36 | 28 | 18 | 33 | 9 | 6 | 18 | 21 | 33 | 13 |
| Lyng Hall School | 79 | 45 | 15 | 19 | 74 | 59 | 25 | 80 | 43 | 13 | 25 | 72 | 29 | 15 |
| President Kennedy School | 57 | 25 | 19 | 13 | 53 | 50 | 24 | 49 | 17 | 10 | 22 | 38 | 22 | 7 |
| Sidney Stringer Academy | 51 | 20 | 17 | 14 | 45 | 23 | 10 | 45 | 22 | 3 | 22 | 40 | 7 | 6 |
| Stoke Park School \& Community College | 30 | 8 | 11 | 12 | 30 | 40 | 12 | 33 | 11 | 1 | 21 | 28 | 18 | 10 |
| The Westwood Academy | 33 | 9 | 10 | 14 | 30 | 18 | 12 | 25 | 5 | 7 | 13 | 21 | 14 | 9 |
| Tile Hill Wood School \& Language College | 50 | 19 | 17 | 14 | 49 | 43 | 29 | 54 | 19 | 4 | 31 | 50 | 24 | 20 |
| Whitley Academy | 37 | 7 | 15 | 15 | 30 | 24 | 17 | 27 | 8 | 3 | 16 | 17 | 35 | 26 |
| Woodlands Academy | 24 | 4 | 5 | 15 | 20 | 32 | 16 | 20 | 3 |  | 17 | 20 | 19 | 14 |
| LA Totals | 708 | 267 | 207 | 236 | 653 | 640 | 313 | 646 | 269 | 72 | 310 | 549 | 346 | 200 |

(7) Left: Of those requests to leave who actually left.
2010/2011

[^1]G:IEMSI01_A\&T|Reports\SAF\1_Requests_In_Out_Sch_MAIN_Sec_rabn.rpt
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## Agenda Item 7

## School Admission Appeals 2011/12

The table below show the pattern of appeals which have been heard for Coventry community secondary schools, trust schools and Academies over the past 4 years.

| SECONDARY <br> APPEALS | 2008/9 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 / 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 / 1 1}$ | 2011/12 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total number of appeals <br> lodged | 278 | 272 | 346 | 280 |
| Appeals withdrawn <br> before hearing | 79 | 70 | 132 | 72 |
| Number of appeals <br> heard | 199 | $\mathbf{2 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 8}$ |
| Appeals decided in <br> parents favour | 115 | 108 | 100 | 105 |
| Appeals rejected | 84 | 94 | 114 | 103 |

Details below show the pattern of appeals which have been heard for Coventry community primary schools over the past 4 years.

| PRIMARY APPEALS | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 / 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 / 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 / 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 / 1 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Total number of appeals <br> lodged | 342 | 455 | 578 | 554 |
| Appeals withdrawn <br> before hearing | 113 | 128 | 247 | 173 |
| Number of appeals heard | $\mathbf{2 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 1}$ |
| Appeals decided in <br> parents favour | 65 | 113 | 145 | 172 |
| Appeals rejected | 164 | 214 | 186 | 209 |


| INFANT CLASS SIZE <br> PRIMARY APPEALS |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Total number of appeals <br> lodged | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 / 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 / 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 / 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 / 1 2}$ |
| Appeals withdrawn <br> before hearing | 90 | 145 | 190 | 272 |
| Number of appeals heard | $\mathbf{6 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 3}$ |
| Appeals decided in <br> parents favour | 2 | 4 | 3 | 8 |
| Appeals rejected | 66 | 93 | 152 | 175 |

*Note: Infant class size appeals are included within the main primary appeals table
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## Document

# Report to Coventry School Admissions Forum Friday 12 October 2012 

## Implementation of the In-Year Fair Access Protocol: Operation of the Social Inclusion Placements Panel 2011/12

## 1. Background.

1.1 Under the School Admissions Code all admission authorities and Admission Forums have been required to have an In-Year Fair Access Protocol in place since September 2007 (formerly referred to as "hard to place pupil protocol"). All schools and Academies are required to participate in their local authority area's protocol in order to ensure that unplaced children, especially the most vulnerable, are offered a place at a suitable school as quickly as possible. This includes admitting children above the published admission number to schools that are already full and ensures that all schools in an area admit their fair share of children with challenging behaviour, including children excluded from other schools.
1.2 This report is submitted in order that the School Admissions Forum can monitor the effectiveness of the Local Authority's Fair Access Protocols.

The forum should consider:

- how well the In-Year Fair Access protocol is working
- how quickly children are found places
- and the contribution every school in the area is making.


## 2. Arrangements for 2011/12

A significant change in the last academic year was the development of new systems by secondary headteachers based on the East and West Partnerships. The heads have worked collaboratively to support pupils in a variety of ways. These arrangements have covered the provision of day 6 full time education for fixed term exclusions over 5 days via a "partner school" arrangement, preventative places and partnership managed moves. These arrangements came into operation in September 2011 for the West Partnership and December 2011 for the East Partnership. The impact of this work is detailed below.
3. Coventry's In-Year Fair Access Protocol
3.1 Coventry's Protocol covers two groups of children:
-Children who have been permanently excluded from school or who are at risk of permanent exclusion (managed transfers)
-Hard-to-place pupils.
3.2 The Fair Access Protocol has to include as a minimum the following children of compulsory school age who have a difficulty securing a school place:
a) children from the criminal justice system or Pupil Referral Units who need to be reintegrated into mainstream education
b)children who have been out of education for two months or more
c)children of Gypsies, Roma, Travellers, refugees and asylum seekers
d)children who are homeless
e)children with unsupportive family backgrounds for whom a place has not been sought f)children who are carers: and
g)children with special educational needs, disabilities or medical conditions (but without a statement).

In considering whether a pupil is hard-to-place there should be evidence of one or more of the following:

- Previous and recent permanent exclusion, a high number of fixed term exclusions or managed move/transfer
- Recent history of significant behaviour problems
- Recent history of offending
- Persistent and poor attendance, at least below $80 \%$
- Lengthy periods of absence from education
- Mental health problems
- Significant social/emotional difficulties resulting from adverse home circumstances
- Parental concerns/ parents who are hard to engage.
3.3 The Local Authority uses a formula to determine the number of pupils in both categories each school will be expected to take. The formula takes account of the differing contexts and circumstances of schools, in particular the size of school, the degree of transience faced by the school and the relative deprivation of the school's population.
3.4 The table attached as appendix 1 sets out the numbers of managed transfers/permanent exclusions and hard to place pupils which schools were expected to admit during the academic year 2011/12. The formula is based on 45 pupils in each category.


## 4. Permanent exclusions / Managed transfers

4.1 During the academic year 2011/12 there were 20 permanent exclusions from the secondary schools/academies and 52 managed transfer requests. The reduction in managed transfer requests, largely in key stage 3, reflects the impact of the East and West Partnership arrangements. In addition eight children who live in Coventry but who were permanently excluded from schools in other local authorities were also placed/supported. Trends over the last five years are given below:

|  | Permanent <br> exclusions | Managed <br> transfers | TOTAL |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2011 / 12$ | 20 | 52 | 72 |
| $2010 / 11$ | 17 | 73 | 90 |
| $2009 / 10$ | 19 | 70 | 89 |
| $2008 / 09$ | 22 | 77 | 99 |
| $2007 / 08$ | 10 | 94 | 104 |

Information on the 72 pupils for 2011/12 is contained in appendix 2 .
4.2 Pupils in key stage 3 normally spend a period of time at the Grange Extended Learning Centre (12 FTE places) or Wyken Extended Learning Centre (24 FTE places). Pupils living in the west of the city are normally placed at the Grange ELC and those in the east at Wyken ELC. 38 KS3 pupils were referred in 2011/12 (52 in 2010/11). The aim of the KS3 ELCs is to support pupils to return to another mainstream school. Pupils are reintegrated when appropriate and receive support from an outreach worker. 16 pupils returned to mainstream school from the ELCs in 2010/11 (19 in 2010/11).
4.3 Pupils in key stage 4 are all considered by the Social Inclusion Placements Panel to provide rigour to the decision making. This system was introduced in the Autumn Term 2008 to seek to reduce the number of pupils placed at Chace ELC/Individual Programmes. In 2011/12 34 key stage 4 referrals were received which was slightly lower than in 2010/11 (38). In 2011/12 6 key stage 4 pupils were allocated places in mainstream schools.

## 5. Hard to place pupils

5.1 The Social Inclusion Panel meets on a monthly basis during the academic year. The panel is Chaired by the Business and Performance Manager and comprises senior officers from appropriate Local Authority Services and four headteacher representatives (NE, NW, SE, SW). Heads attend 3 meetings on a rolling programme to support consistency in decision making.
5.291 cases were discussed across the 11 meetings which were held. Most cases were identified by the admissions team as potentially hard to place because of one or more of the issues outlined in paragraph 3.2 above. Other cases were referred by headteachers. Information on these pupils is contained in appendix 3. 51 pupils were confirmed as hard to place and were agreed as supported transfers into an alternative mainstream school. Following changes 42 pupils actually transferred, 23 in key stage 3 and 19 in key stage 4.
5.3 Trends over the past five years are given below:

|  | Cases | Supported <br> transfers | KS3 | KS4 | Standard <br> admissions |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2011 / 12$ | 91 | 42 | 23 | 19 | 21 |
| $2010 / 11$ | 104 | 59 | 34 | 25 | 30 |
| $2009 / 10$ | 90 | 35 | 24 | 11 | 21 |
| $2008 / 09$ | 79 | 22 | 16 | 6 | 10 |
| $2007 / 08$ | 76 | 26 | 14 | 11 | 16 |

## 6. Overview

6.1 Appendix 4 gives an overview of all pupils admitted to Coventry Schools in 2011/12 through both the partnership and city wide arrangements.

## 7. Arrangements for 2012/13

7.1 A behaviour and attendance strategy group of officers and secondary headteachers meets on a regular basis to review/ develop these arrangements, together will discussions at secondary heads meetings are required. The secondary headteachers East and West Partnership systems continue to develop. These arrangements may further alter/reduce the casework going through the city wide system. The impact on the Social Inclusion Placements Panel and associated procedures will be kept under regular review and reported to the School Admissions Forum as appropriate.

Headteachers have agreed this year to have a single number of pupils to be admitted irrespective of whether they are hard to place or managed move/permanent exclusions. This has been based on 75 pupils and is contained in appendix 5 .

Appendix 1.

## ADMISSION OF EXCLUDED PUPILS/PUPILS AT RISK OF EXCLUSION AND HARD TO PLACE PUPILS- BASED ON 90 PLACES (45 IN EACH CATEGORY)

| School | No on Roll (11-15) January 2011 | In Year Admissions 2010/2011 as a percentage of total school roll | Average IDACI | NUMBER OF PUPILS ADMITTED PER SCHOOL YEAR |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Exclud/ at risk of exclsn | Hard to place | TOTAL |
| Barrs Hill | 577 | 13 | 0.391 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Caludon Castle | 1245 | 1 | 0.185 | 4 | 4 | 8 |
| Coundon Court | 1360 | 1 | 0.137 | 4 | 4 | 8 |
| Ernesford Grange | 638 | 3 | 0.399 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Finham Park | 1206 | 1 | 0.086 | 5 | 5 | 10 |
| Foxford | 897 | 5 | 0.340 | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| Lyng Hall | 531 | 12 | 0.380 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Pres. Kennedy | 1116 | 4 | 0.295 | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| Sidney Stringer Academy | 1048 | 3 | 0.447 | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| Stoke Park | 887 | 2 | 0.290 | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| Tile Hill Wood | 1048 | 4 | 0.223 | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| Westwood | 528 | 5 | 0.254 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Whitley Academy | 679 | 4 | 0.343 | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| Woodlands | 746 | 2 | 0.208 | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| Grace Academy | 707 | 4 | 0.360 | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| Bishop Ullathorne | 748 | 3 | 0.241 | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| Blue Coat CE | 1055 | 0 | 0.222 | 5 | 5 | 10 |
| Card. Newman RC | 1039 | 2 | 0.240 | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| Card. Wiseman RC | 1132 | 2 | 0.336 | 2 | 2 | 4 |
|  | (17187) |  |  | 45 (90) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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Managed Transfers and Exclusions in Secondary Schools 2011-:



NB 8 children living in Coventry at risk of exclusion/permanently excluded from other LA

2012 -updated 24/07/12

## APPENDIX 2



schools also dealt with

Hard to Place - Requests Out

## 6己 $\begin{gathered}\text { bed }\end{gathered}$

Hard to Place - Completed Requests

| School | School roll Jan 11 | Term of panel |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Autumn | Spring |
| Barr's Hill | 635 | 3 | 1 |
| Bishop Ullathorne RC | 777 |  |  |
| Blue Coat | 1063 |  | 1 |
| Caludon Castle | 1223 |  |  |
| Cardinal Newman RC | 1046 | 1 |  |
| Cardinal Wiseman RC | 1102 | 3 | 1 |
| Coundon Court | 1353 |  |  |
| Ernesford Grange | 582 | 1 | 1 |
| Finham Park | 1212 | 1 | 2 |
| Foxford | 889 | 1 |  |
| Grace Academy | 750 | 1 | 1 |
| Lyng Hall | 573 |  | 4 |
| President Kennedy | 1030 | 1 |  |
| Sidney Stringer Academy | 1042 | 1 | 1 |
| Stoke Park | 890 | 3 | 1 |
| Tile Hill Wood | 1008 |  | 2 |
| The Westwood Academy | 536 |  | 1 |
| Whitley Academy | 717 |  | 1 |
| Woodlands Academy | 736 |  |  |
|  | 17164 | 16 | 17 |





# PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENT 

Between Coventry Secondary Schools/Academies and the Children, Learning \& Young People's Directorate
on Coventry Fair Access Protocols


#### Abstract

The Coventry Fair Access Protocols cover the arrangements for the integration or reintegration to schools/academies of pupils who have been permanently excluded, are at risk of permanent exclusion or are requesting a school transfer and are considered hard-to-place. All secondary schools and academies in Coventry are included in this partnership and are listed below. The agreement requires each school/academy to accept a quota of students who are either permanently excluded, at risk of permanent exclusion or hard-to-place, as set out in the current Fair Access Protocols. All schools and academies are represented on the Social Inclusion Placement Panel


Barr's Hill<br>Bishop Ullathorne<br>Blue Coat Academy<br>Caludon Castle<br>Cardinal Newman<br>Cardinal Wiseman<br>Coundon Court Academy<br>Ernesford Grange<br>Finham Park Academy<br>Foxford

Grace Academy
Lyng Hall
President Kennedy
Sidney Stringer Academy
Stoke Park
Tile Hill Wood Academy
Whitley Academy
The Westwood Academy
The Woodlands Academy

## PERIOD COVERED BY THIS AGREEMENT

One year, from 1 September 2012 until 31 August 2013
This agreement will be reviewed annually

## AGREEMENT SPECIFICATION

The main activities covered by the agreement are those education, advisory, management and co-ordination services which are set out in italics in the "Protocol and procedures for the management of excluded pupils and pupils at risk of exclusion" in line with the framework for admissions below.

## SCALE OF CHARGES

| PROVISION | Charge to <br> Schools | Reimbursement <br> (where applicable) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Permanent Exclusion | $£ 6032+$ AWPU | $(£ 3921)+$ AWPU |
| Managed Transfer | $£ 4545+$ AWPU | $(£ 2621)+$ AWPU |
| Short Term Preventative <br> Work | $£ 2394$ |  |
| Outreach \& Supported <br> Transfer | AWPU ( pro rata <br> for in city <br> transfers) | AWPU (pro rata for in <br> city transfers) |

## FRAMEWORK FOR THE ADMISSION OF PERMANENTLY EXCLUDED, MANAGED-TRANSFER AND HARD-TO-PLACE PUPILS

In line with the guidance issued by DfE, the number of hard-to-place or at-risk pupils which schools/academies will be expected to admit each year will take account of the differing contexts and circumstances of schools, in particular, the size of the school, the degree of transience faced by the school and the relative deprivation of the school's population.

The LA will also seek not to overburden schools/academies by placing too many pupils in a year group, wherever it is possible to avoid this. The LA will take account of numbers placed in schools by year group over the previous 2 academic years.

The following table sets out the numbers of such pupils that schools/academies will be expected to admit during the academic year. Decisions about placement will be fully negotiated with schools and will be based on the range of factors, outlined above, to distribute the total number of pupils as equitably as possible, notwithstanding the influence of parental/carer preference.

The number of pupils admitted to each school is based on a total of 90 pupils ( 45 managed transfers and 45 supported transfers) - if this figure needs to increase the number of pupils admitted will be adjusted.

ADMISSION OF EXCLUDED PUPILS/PUPILS AT RISK OF EXCLUSION AND HARD TO PLACE PUPILS-BASED ON 75 PLACES
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Please email your completed report to: OSA.TEAM@OSA.GSI.GOV.UK

## Introduction

1. Section 88P of the School Standards and Framework Act 1998 requires Local Authorities to make an annual report to the adjudicator.
2. The School Admissions Code (the Code) at paragraph 6 sets out the requirements for reports by local authorities. Paragraph 3.23 specifies what must be included in the report to the adjudicator and makes provision for the local authority to include any other local issues.
3. The remit letter from the Secretary of State for Education to the Chief Schools Adjudicator also makes reference to additional matters on which he wishes to have a report included in the Chief Adjudicator's annual report. Rather than undertake a separate exercise in which information is sought from local authorities, you are asked to include any relevant information in your report to the adjudicator.

For ease of reference the legislation is given below.

## Legal References

## "88P Reports by local authorities to adjudicator

(1) A local authority in England must make such reports to the adjudicator about such matters connected with relevant school admissions as may be required by the code for school admissions.
(2) In subsection (1) 'relevant school admissions', in relation to a local authority, means:
(a) the admission of pupils to relevant schools in the authority's area;
(b) the admission of pupils in the authority's area to other relevant schools;
(c) the entry to the sixth form of pupils who have been admitted to relevant schools in the authority's area; and
(d) the entry to the sixth form of pupils in the authority's area who have been admitted to other relevant schools.
(3) In this section, "relevant school" means--
(a) a maintained school,
(b) an Academy,
(c) a city technology college, or
(d) a city technology of the arts."

## "Section 88Q Reports under section 88P: provision of information

(1) A relevant person must, on request, provide a local authority in England with such information as the authority may reasonably require for the purpose of enabling the authority to fulfil their duties
under section 88P.
(2) In subsection (1), "relevant person", in relation to a local authority, means-
(a) an admission authority (other than the local authority) for a maintained school in the area of the local authority;
(b)
--------
(c) any member of an appeal panel constituted under section 94 by-
(i) the local authority, or
(ii) the governing body of a foundation or voluntary aided school in the area of the local authority;
(d) the proprietor of-
(i) an Academy,
(ii) a city technology college, or
(iii) a city college for the technology of the arts,
in the area of the local authority;
(e) any other local authority in England;
(f) other person as may be prescribed."

## Completing the Template

This template is designed to be completed electronically - boxes will expand as necessary.

Throughout this report, please include middle deemed primary schools as for pupils up to age 11 and middle deemed secondary schools as for pupils over 11.

Where type of school is given, foundation covers foundation schools and foundation schools with a foundation (trust schools).

## Admission Arrangements for Admissions in September 2012

Please provide details of how the admission arrangements for schools in your local authority serves the interests of the groups of children listed below. Please include details of any problems that have arisen while allocating places for admissions in September 2012. (the Code paragraph 3.23 a) refers)

Looked after Children: Looked after children are given the first priority in oversubscription criteria. In the case of voluntary aided Catholic primary
schools baptised Catholic looked after children are given the highest priority, and following other categories of Catholic children, priority is then given to non-Catholic looked after children.

Previously looked after children Giving priority to previously looked after children was not a requirement for admission arrangements for admissions in September 2012. However, if you have any evidence about the admission of such children please include details here:
No information available.

Children with disabilities: Children with statements of SEN are allocated places before all other allocations are made. Other children with disabilities are allocated places as part of the general admissions policies.

Children who have special educational needs: All children with statements of SEN are allocated places before all other allocations are made.

## Fair Access Protocol

The Code at paragraph 3.9 requires each local authority to have a Fair Access Protocol agreed with the majority of schools in its area. Paragraph 3.11 of the Code requires that all admission authorities must participate in the Fair Access Protocol.
a) Please confirm that your local authority has a Fair Access Protocol that has been agreed with the majority of schools in your area.

Tick as appropriate: $\quad$ Yes $\square \quad$ No $\boxtimes$
If NO, please explain: We do not have a formal FAP for primary schools as current admissions processes enable us to ensure access to school places quickly, whilst not requiring undersubscribed schools to admit a greater proportion of children with a recent history of challenging behaviour. These systems will be kept under review.
b) Although a majority of schools, and perhaps all, will have agreed the Fair Access Protocol, some may not have done so, please state how many schools have not agreed the Fair Access Protocol.

| Type of School | Number of schools that have not agreed Fair <br> Access Protocol |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Schools for pupils up <br> to age 11 | Schools for pupils <br> over age 11 |
|  | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 0 |
| Voluntary Controlled | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | - |
| Voluntary Aided | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 0 |
| Foundation | - | 0 |
| Academy | - | 0 |

c) Please give your assessment of how well the Fair Access Protocol has worked in the academic year 2011/12 in placing children without a school place in schools in a timely manner. Include details of any specific problems that have been encountered in applying the protocol.

We have robust systems in place for pupils at risk of exclusion and the FAP has built upon this work. All secondary schools and Academies have cooperated in implementing the protocol and agreeing a service level agreement which identified the share of hard to place children to be admitted to schools and alternative provision. Whilst there have been disputes on decisions made in some individual cases, dialogue with headteachers takes place to resolve issues.
d) How many children have been admitted to each type of school in the area under the protocol? How many children have been refused admission to a school?

| Type of <br> School | Number of children <br> admitted |  | Number of children refused <br> admission |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Schools for <br> pupils up to <br> age 11 | Schools for <br> pupils over <br> age 11 | Schools for <br> pupils up to <br> age 11 | Schools for <br> pupils over <br> age 11 |
| Community |  | 3 |  |  |
| Voluntary <br> Controlled |  |  |  |  |
| Voluntary <br> Aided |  | 2 |  |  |
| Foundation |  | 10 |  | 4 |
| Academy |  | 9 |  |  |

e) Please comment below on the extent to which schools have cooperated in the operation of the protocol.

All schools participate in the monthly placement meetings which are held as part of our FAP. Some schools have delayed in admitting pupils placed with them through the protocol but numbers of admission refusals this year have been small.
f) If children have not been placed in a school through the protocol, have you used the powers of direction to provide a place for a child?

Tick as appropriate: $\quad$ Yes $\square \quad$ No $\boxtimes \quad$ N/A $\square$
g) If YES, how many children have been placed and in which type of school as a result of a direction, including a direction via the Secretary of State or after an appeal to the Adjudicator?

| Type of School | Number of children placed |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | Schools for pupils up <br> to age 11 | Schools for pupils <br> over age 11 |
| Community |  |  |
| Voluntary Controlled |  |  |
| Voluntary Aided |  |  |
| Foundation |  |  |
| Academy |  |  |

Please add any other relevant information you wish to include in this section.
$\square$

## Co-ordination

Please assess the effectiveness of co-ordination of primary and secondary admissions for September 2012 in your local authority.

Primary: Co-ordination has been effective, but the addition of emergency extra places following the offer date is proving challenging to co-ordinate.

Secondary: Co-ordination has been effective but during the process there were some delays in gaining information from one Academy and one neighbouring authority. We also experienced some IT difficulties in data exchanges with other authorities but all problems were overcome within the overall timescales of the process.

## Admission Appeals

Please provide details of the number of lodged and upheld parental appeals for admissions in September 2012.

|  | Lodged | Upheld |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Number of appeals <br> for pupils up to age <br> 11. | 167 | none held yet |
| Number of appeals <br> for pupils over age <br> 11. | 150 | 39 |
| Date up to which this <br> information applies. | $11 / 6 / 12$ | $11 / 6 / 12$ |

Please note there will be an opportunity to update this data up until 31 August 2012 - the update form is attached as an appendix to this form and provided separately for you to return if you wish at the end of August.

## Other Issues

Paragraph 3.23 of the Code requires each local authority to publish a copy of its report locally.

Please indicate where or how a copy of the report can be obtained by a member of the public: This will be made available on the coventry city council website and a hard copy can be provided by contacting the Admissions and Benefits Team

If not yet published please provide details of when and where the report will be published:

## Local Authority Issues

Please provide details of any other issues that you would like to raise and comment on that are not already covered in this report.

We experienced a higher level than usual of schools determining policies for 2013 which were not compliant with the Schools Admissions Code. This is partly as a result of changes to the Admissions Code, and also to schools taking on responsibility for admissions without sufficient expertise in this area. Following requests for changes 23 of the 24 schools have amended their policies. We will pursue an objection to the Schools Adjudicator should
continuing discussion fail to result in satisfactory amendments in the other case. We had 3 Academies which failed to determine admissions arrangements by the statutory deadline, and one of these has yet to do so.

## Remit Letter from the Secretary of State for Education to the Chief Adjudicator

The Secretary of State has asked that the Chief Adjudicator's annual report includes an assessment of the impact in local areas of having more own admission authorities and any implications for parental choice.

Please give the number of types of Schools in your local authority.

| Type of School | Number of schools |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of <br> Schools for <br> pupils up to <br> age 11 | Number of <br> Schools for <br> pupils over age <br> $\mathbf{1 1}$ | Total number <br> of Schools |
| Community | 58 | 3 | 61 |
| Voluntary Controlled | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| Voluntary Aided | 21 | 3 | 24 |
| Foundation | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| Academy | 0 | 9 | 9 |
| Total number of <br> Schools | 84 | 19 | 103 |

Does the local authority carry out any investigations into the impact for parents of having many or most or all schools in an area that are their own admission authority?

Tick as appropriate: $\quad$ Yes $\square \quad$ No $\boxtimes$
If YES, what was the outcome of the investigation:

If NO, are there any plans to monitor the impact for parents of having an increasing number of own admission authority schools:
There are no specific plans in place at the moment but we will take this issue to the Schools Admissions Forum which we have retained in Coventry.

If the admission arrangements of individual schools are all considered to be lawful, is there any difficulty for parents in securing a place at a local school?

Tick as appropriate: $\quad$ Yes $\boxtimes \quad$ No $\square$
If YES, has the local authority considered what might be done to overcome any difficulties:

Whilst 86\% of parents who applied on time for primary school places received a place at their first preference school, the high level of demand for places has caused particular difficulty for parents making late applications. Although there are sufficient places in Coventry, many of the available places have been in the west of the city. Through strategic place planning we had added 120 places for September 2012, but have made arrangements on an urgent basis since April 2012 for an additional 105 places to be made available in the north east, south east and centre/north of the city to try to ease this situation. However, the increasing demand for places and the cost of provision will continue to place a great deal of pressure on school place provision.

Please email your completed report to: OSA.TEAM@OSA.GSI.GOV.UK

Office of the Schools Adjudicator

## LOCAL AUTHORITY REPORT - Data update 31 August 2012

## Coventry Local Authority

Report Cleared by (Name): Colin Green
Date submitted: 15 August 2012
By (Name): Sue Heawood
Contact email address: sue.heawood@coventry.gov.uk
Telephone number: 02476831612

In the LA Report that was submitted by 30th June 2012 we confirmed that there would be an opportunity to update some of the data information. Below is the section of the June Report concerning appeals that you may wish to update. Please complete and return by 31 August 2012.

## Admission Appeals

Please provide details of the number of lodged and upheld parental appeals for admissions in September 2012.

|  | Lodged | Upheld |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Number of appeals <br> for pupils up to age <br> 11. | 216 | 5 |
| Number of appeals <br> for pupils over age <br> 11. | 183 | 49 |
| Date up to which this <br> information applies. | $15 / 8 / 12$ | $25 / 7 / 12$ |

Please email your completed report to: OSA.TEAM@OSA.GSI.GOV.UK
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